



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/711,737 | 09/30/2004 | Lee George LABORCZFALVI | 2006579-0141 | 5736 |

69665 7590 02/06/2008
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART / CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC.
TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER

MORRISON, JAY A

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2168

| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|
|-----------|---------------|

02/06/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

AK

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/711,737 | LABORCZFALVI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jay A. Morrison | 2168 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jay A. Morrison. (3) _____

(2) John D. Lanza (Reg No. 40,060). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 01 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Czajkowski.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A..

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See continuation sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Discussed proposed amendments in regards to overcoming the Czajkowski reference. In consideration the amendments, which specify that the request for the native resource is provided by the operating system, the proposed amendments to the claims appear to overcome the art of record.